

KD Quasiprobability Meets Bures Geometry: Predictability–Coherence Duality and Triality

E. Acalapati¹ and A. Budiyono^{2,3,4}

¹*Laboratoire de Physique de l'École Normale Supérieure, ENS, Université PSL, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, Université de Paris, F-75005 Paris, France.*

²*Research Centre for Quantum Physics, National Research and Innovation Agency, South Tangerang 15314, Republic of Indonesia.*

³*Research Center for Nanoscience and Nanotechnology, Bandung Institute of Technology, Bandung, 40132, Republic of Indonesia.*

⁴*Department of Engineering Physics, Bandung Institute of Technology, Bandung, 40132, Republic of Indonesia.*

Abstract

We introduce a *predictability* quantifier rooted in geometry and directly tied to quasiprobability-based coherence. For a state ρ and a projective measurement $\{\Pi_a\}$, we define

$$P(\rho, \{\Pi_a\}) = D_B^2(\Delta_a(\rho) \| I/d),$$

the squared Bures distance between the *dephased* state $\Delta_a(\rho)$ (i.e. the classical outcome distribution in the chosen basis) and the maximally mixed state I/d . This yields a closed form P that depends only on the diagonal probabilities $p_a = \text{Tr}(\rho\Pi_a)$ and satisfies the desiderata for a resource-like “which-path certainty”: continuity in p_a , convexity, invariance under basis permutations, monotone decrease under depolarisation, and invariance under the decoherence channel that erases off-diagonals. Moreover, P admits an *entropic representation* via the Tsallis entropy at $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\frac{P(\rho, \Pi_a)}{P_{\max}} = 1 - \frac{S_{1/2}(\Delta_a(\rho))}{S_{1/2}(I/d)},$$

linking operational predictability to measurement uncertainty.

Building on *Kirkwood–Dirac (KD)* quasiprobability, we take the pure-state nonclassical KD coherence [1]

$$C_{KD}^{NCL}(|\psi\rangle, \{\Pi_a\}) = -1 + \sum_a \sqrt{|\langle a|\psi\rangle|^2},$$

show that it is the *complement* of the normalised P for pure states, and extend it to *mixed states* by a convex-roof construction [2],

$$C(\rho; \Pi) := \inf_{\{p_k, |\psi_k\rangle\}} \sum_k p_k C_{KD}^{NCL}(|\psi_k\rangle, \{\Pi_a\}) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \rho = \sum_k p_k |\psi_k\rangle\langle\psi_k|.$$

The resulting $C(\rho; \Pi)$ is a proper coherence monotone: it is faithful (maximal on the uniformly coherent manifold, zero on incoherent states), convex, non-increasing under decoherence and partial trace, covariant under unitaries, and invariant under unitaries commuting with the measured observable and under basis permutations. This furnishes a KD-anchored and geometrically interpretable coherence that pairs naturally with P .

From these constructions we derive (i) a *wave–particle duality* inequality [3, 4]

$$\frac{C(\rho, \{\Pi_a\})}{C_{\max}} + \frac{P(\rho, \{\Pi_a\})}{P_{\max}} \leq 1,$$

and (ii) using the thermodynamic bound on Tsallis- $\frac{1}{2}$ entropy production [5] (for equilibrium I/d), a *triality equality* [6]

$$\underbrace{\tilde{P}(\rho, \{\Pi_a\})}_{\text{classical}} + \underbrace{\tilde{C}(\rho, \{\Pi_a\})}_{\text{quantum}} + \underbrace{\tilde{S}_{1/2}(\rho)}_{\text{mixedness}} = 1,$$

which cleanly partitions informational resources into classical predictability, quantum coherence, and entropy-like mixedness. We also give an operational interpretation: in a “quantum guessing game with posterior information,” C quantifies the *classically irreducible unpredictability*—the part that remains even when an adversary learns the state’s pure-state decomposition [7].

For qubits, we provide numerical experiments corroborating the theory, including bounds via Fuchs–van de Graaf inequalities and relations to purity. The framework ties phase-space/quasiprobability notions (KD) to geometric distances (Bures) and thermodynamic constraints (Tsallis) in a unified, experimentally friendly way, with potential applications to metrology, QRNG, and resource accounting in open-system control.

References

- [1] Agung Budiyo, Joel F Sumbowo, Mohammad K Agusta, and Bagus E B Nurhandoko. Quantum coherence from kirkwood–dirac nonclassicality, some bounds, and operational interpretation. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 57(25):255301, jun 2024.
- [2] Armin Uhlmann. Roofs and convexity. *Entropy*, 12(7):1799–1832, 2010.
- [3] Daniel M. Greenberger and Allaine Yasin. Simultaneous wave and particle knowledge in a neutron interferometer. *Phys. Lett. A*, 128(8):391–394, 1988.
- [4] Berthold-Georg Englert. Fringe Visibility and Which-Way Information: An Inequality. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 77:2154–2157, 1996.
- [5] Qing-Feng Xue, Xu-Cai Zhuang, De-Yang Duan, Ying-Jie Zhang, Wei-Bin Yan, Yun-Jie Xia, Rosario Lo Franco, and Zhong-Xiao Man. Evidence of genuine quantum effects in nonequilibrium entropy production via quantum photonics. *Phys. Rev. A*, 110(4):042204, 2024.
- [6] X.-F. Qian, K. Konthasinghe, S. K. Manikandan, D. Spiecker, A. N. Vamivakas, and J. H. Eberly. Turning off quantum duality. *Phys. Rev. Res.*, 2:012016, Jan 2020.
- [7] Claudio Carmeli, Teiko Heinosaari, and Alessandro Toigo. Quantum guessing games with posterior information. *Rept. Prog. Phys.*, 85:074001, 2022.